C.F. 72.

1927

Department of L R & Rev

OFFICE of the Board of Revenue

STATION Chepauk

His **No.** 212

Dated 24-1-27

To whom sent		Date	Initial.
	Given for copying		
	Copied	11-2-27	
	Examined	Rules 251	
	Signed		
	Given for despatch		
	Despatched	11/2/27	
	Indexed		
Pages in the file	To be destroyed on*		

(SUBJECT.)

Village officers (Bellary) - Hospitals - Belgaum Magistrate - Revenue - Basavanna Gowd - dismissal - Board of appeal - orders passed

* Not to be filled in in the case of permanent records.

C.F. 32 (a)

In reply, please quote number, date and short title

Land Revenue & Settlement DEPARTMENT.

BOARD OF REVENUE * LAND REVENUE & SETTLEMENT * 29 OCT. 1925

Received ..... *Registered* C 7354

From (Name) Khan Bahadur Md. Bazlullah Sahib Bahadur C.I.E., O.B.E.,

(Designation) Collector of

Post Box ....., (Station) Bellary.

Telegraphic Address .....

To The Secretary to the Commissioners, Land Revenue & Settlement, Madras.

Ref. C. *No* 5517/25. *Dated* 28th October 1925

Sir,

Enclosures are Sir. ... Spare Copies .....

Village officers - Hospet Taluk - Belagoduhal village - Late Missal Reddi Basavana Gowd - second appeal.

Ref:- Board's endorsement C. No.6001 dated 8-9-25.

The appeal petition is herewith submitted with the following records relating to the case.

(1) D.Dis.C. No.1302/25 dated 20-5-25. of this office
(2) R.Dis.97/27-12-24. | Of the Sub Collector's
(3) D.Dis.1463/17-12-21. | office, Hospet.
(4) D.Dis.1087/10-12-22. |

K.K.R. 28-10-25.

For Collector.



Hospet,

Dated 28th August 25.

To

The Secretary to the Commissioner
to the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue
and Settlement, Madras.

Honoured Sir,

Basavana Gowd, Missal Reddy of Belagoduhal, village, Hospet Taluk, most respectfully begs to submit the following appeal against the Order of the Collector of Bellary, contained in his Proceedings D.Dis. C. No. 1302 A-1, -- dated the 20th May 1925, rejecting the appeal as time-barred.

2. The merits of the case were fully discussed in the Appeal Petition presented to the Collector, and it is needless to repeat them here.

3. The Collector never entered into the details and rejected the appeal on the technical ground that the appeal was time-barred. The Collector's order being quite against the Rules, the Appellant begs to submit this appeal for the favourable consideration of the Hon'ble Board. The grounds of appeal are briefly set forth below:-

(1) The Appellant was dismissed from service by the Divisional Officer, Hospet, in his Proceedings R. Dis. No. 97/24 dated 27.12.24 This order reached the Appellant on 9.1.25. Against this order, he filed an appeal to the Collector of Bellary on 6.2.25. The order appealed against was, by mistake, not stamped, and so the appeal petition was returned to the Appellant on 12.2.25 for supplying the ommission. It reached him on 27.2.25 through the Tahsildar of Hospet. The Appellant being ignorant of English, did not know

what orders were passed on his appeal, and so he immediately proceeded to the Taluk Office at Hospet and ascertained that the order appealed against was not stamped. He then purchased a stamp at Hospet and resubmitted his Appeal to the Collector, with the order duly stamped on 2.3.25 i.e., within 3 days of its receipt. and within 5 days from the date of the Collectors order.

(2) In this connection, the Appellant submits that his village is situated in an out-of-the-way place - without any Post Office or any easy communication. - Unfortunately, the Collector, without considering these circumstances, was pleased to hold that the Appeal was time-barred though Boards Standing Order No. 154 page 21. allows time for rectifying such omissions and defects. According to the spirit of the Board's Standing Order, the - Collector has no option to refuse to take into account the time taken for rectifyingthe defects of this kind. The Board's Standing Order says that ordinarily one month's time should be given in such cases, but the Collector was not pleased to allow even 3 days in this case. The Collector's order being thus quite against the Rules the Appellant prays that the Hon'ble Board may be pleased either to order the Collector to take the appeal on his file and dispose of it on its merits, or to take the -- appeal on its own file and dispose of.

4. In conclusion, the Appellant submits that he is an young Missal Reddy, aged about 28, and has duly qualified under the Educational Rules.

5. Copy of the Order appealed against is enclosed.

The Appellant begs to remain,
Honoured Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

28.8.25

Reddy of Belagoduhal village,
Hospet Taluk.

IX

A.S.

12 OCT 1925

Endt. C. 6001 dy 8-9-25.

Returned for resubmission through the Collector of Bellary within one month from the date of receipt of this order. The appellant should also produce a duly stamped and authenticated copy of the R.D.O's order in the matter.

by proxy
Assistant Secretary, B.O.R.
Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue & Settlement.

To the petr. with petition and one enclosure and requisite copy for stamp of the value of Rs. 2-8-0.

(Sd) B.O.R.

Sd/- [illegible]
19.9.25

Resubmitted through the Collector of Bellary with the copy of the Revenue Divisional officer's order R Dis 97 dated 27-12-24 delivered to the appellant on 7-10-25 duly stamped.

1/- The appeal petition bears the date 1st of the 1st September though it actually reached the Board's office on 31st August last as will be seen from the Postal acknowledgment delivered to the petitioner.

3/- The acknowledgment is herewith enclosed for kind perusal and return.

10:10:25. Sd/- [illegible]

THREE Ref 63/25 FOR COPIES ONLY 6 ANNAS



The original is at (T)

Proceedings of the Revenue Officer of Hospet.

Present:- Rao Saheb M.S. Pais Avl B.A.,

Revs 97 of 1924.

Station Hospet. Dated 27th December 1924

Read:- Punishment roll from Tahsildar of Hospet against Basavanna Gowd, misal Reddy of Belagurki

2 Tahsildar's reports Le 772 dated 1-10-24, 8-10-24, and 30-11-24

3 Charges against the Reddy

4 Reddy's written explanation given in person also Revs 10-87 dated 10-12-24

Orders

The Reddy ~~was~~ only left large arrears in collection work but actually temporarily misappropriated the amounts collected with the help of the Revenue Inspector. He failed to produce the amount before the Revenue Inspector and when remitting it he kept back a portion of it. The other charges are clearly proved against him. The Reddy was suspended on two previous



occasions for similar offences but he has not improved. He does not deserve to be in Service. He is dismissed

(Sd) N.S. Pais
Rev Dl officer
27-12-24.

Correctly typed (Sd) [illegible]
Correctly Mr and Supdt Chan
Corrections are 7/6ps
Dated 27.12.24

(Sd) [illegible]
Asst Clerk
7-10-1925

G.F. 33—558,000—3-10-22.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE Collector of Bellar
MEMORANDUM

*Post Box No.*_____ *Telegraphic Address*

Name [illegible]

No. 130/25 A-1 *dated* [illegible] 192

*Short title*____

READ—the following :— Village officers-Kospet Taluk- Belagodu/all villages-

appeal petition of Tamavana Gowd-of Nelapokabal.

CR. 323/25

The appeal was in the first instance filed on the last possible day to comply with time bar rule. It was incomplete and was therefore returned to be stamped. Appellant received back his appeal on 2-1-25 and it was not refiled till 5-1-25. The appellant says verbally that he was sick and that it took him time to buy stamps. Things can be had in Kampli quite close to his village. There is no possible excuse for the delay and no reasonable reason for condoning it.

The appeal is rejected on time bar.

for Collector.

To the appellant through the Headman of Kospet.

Copy to the D.I. Officer of Kospet with three disposal files

Taluk of Hospet.

Dept 2/5

Paper 994

Forwarded through the [illegible]

Insp of Kampli

Nilmadal 22/5/25

SC 994/24

SEC

CR. 323/25

Forwarded

T. Hanumanthaiya

RI 31.5/25

C.R. 32(a)

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

Received BOARD OF REVENUE 4-1-26 Registered 10 C853

From (Name) Khan Bahadur Md. Bazlul-lah Saib Bahadur, C.I.E, O. B.E.

(Designation) Collector of Bellary.

Post Box....., (Station).....

Telegraphic Address.....

To The Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue and Settlement.

MADRAS.

Ref C.5517/25 A/1 *No.* *dated* 2-2-26. 192

Sir

Enclosures.....

Village Officers- Hospet Taluk- Belagoduhal Village Late Missal Reddi Busavanagowd- Second Appeal.

Spare Copies.....

I request the favour of Board's early orders to this office

Ref. C. 5517/25 A-1 dated 28-10-25 submitted in reply to Board's Endt C. No. 6001 dated 8-9-25.

for Collector.

C.F. 32(a)

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

Land Revenue & Settlement DEPARTMENT.

Received ..... *Registered* C 3284

From (Name) Khan Bahadur Md. Bazlullah Sahib Bahadur C.I.E., O.B.E.,

(Designation) Collector of

Post Box....., (Station) Bellary.

Telegraphic Address.....

To The Secretary to the Commissioners
Land Revenue & Settlement,
Madras.

Ref. C. *No.* 5517/25-*A-1* dated 10th May 1926.

Sir

Enclosures .....

.....

.....

Spare Copies.....

* Village Officers - Hospet Taluk - Belagoduhal - village - Late missal Reddi Basavanagowd - Second appeal.

I request the favour of Board's early orders on this office Ref. C.5517/25-A-1 dated 28-10-26 submitted in reply to Board's endorsement C. No.6001/2 dated 8-9-25, as the reference is of over 6 months old.

K.A.R.10-5-26.

For Collector.

C.R. 32 (a)

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

BOARD OF REVENUE C DEPARTMENT.

12

Received 4 - JUN. 1926 Registered C 3908

BOARD OF REVENUE & SETTLEMENT

From (Name) Dewan Bahadur Fazlullah Sahib Bahdur, C.I.E., O.B.E.,

(Designation) Collector of Bellary.

Post Box ....., (Station) .....

Telegraphic Address .....

To The Secretary to the Commissioner of Land Revenue & Settlement, Madras.

Ref. C No. 5517/25 A-1 dated 3rd June 26 192 .

Sir,

Village Officers- Hospet Taluk-Belagodugal village
Late ~~Submission~~ Second appeal Misgal Reddy Basavangowd.

Enclosures .....

.....

.....

Spare Copies .....

I request the favour of Board's early orders in this office Ref. C No. 5517/25 A-1 dated 28th Oct. 1925 submitted in reply to Board's Endorsement C No. 6001/8-8-25, as the reference has been pending for over 6 months.

M.S/7-6-26.

For Collector.

8/6

C.R. 33 (a)

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

Land Rev. & Sett. DEPARTMENT.

13

Received ..... *Registered* .....

d 4230

From (Name) Khan Bahadur Md. Bazlullah Sahib Bahadur C.I.E., O.B.E.,

(Designation) *Collector of

Post Box ....., (STATION) Bellary.

Telegraphic Address .....

To The Secretary to the Commissioners,
Land Revenue & Settlement,
Madras.

Ref. C. No. 5517/25-A *dated* 14th June 1926.

Sir,

*Village officers - Hospet taluk - Belagodu Hal village Late missal Reddi - Basavanagowd - second appeal.

I request the favour of Board's early orders on this office Ref. C.5517/25-A-1 dated 28-10-25, submitted in reply to Board's endorsement C. No. ~~xxxx~~ 6001/8-9-25 as the reference has been pending for over six months.

K.K.R.14-6-26.

For Collector.

ENCLOSURES .....

.....

.....

SPARE COPIES .....

14/6

C.F. 32.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

DEPARTMENT. 14



Received ..... *Registered* C 5414

From (Name) Khan Bahadur Syed Bazlullah Sahib Bahadur C.I.E., O.B.E.,

(Designation) Collector of

Post Box ....., (Station) ~~B e l l~~ a r y.

Telegraphic Address .....

To The Secretary to the Commissioners,
Land Revenue & Settlement,
M a d r a s

Ref. C. No. ~~5517/25-A-1~~ dated ~~24th July~~ 192 6

Sir,

Enclosures .....

Spare Copies .....

Village officers - Hospet Taluk - Belagoduhal village & Late missal Reddi Basavana Gowd - second appeal.

I request the favour of early orders on the subject. The appeal petition and the connected records were submitted with this office Ref. C.5517/25-A-1 dated 28-10-25.

K. . . R. 26-7-26.

For Collector.

C.F. 32.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

15

BOARD OF REVENUE 19 NOV. 1926 SETTLEMENT

Received *Registered* V. No. 4929

From (Name) W. C. Herbert Esqr. I.C.S.,

(Designation) Collector of

Post Box, (Station) Bellary

Telegraphic Address

To The Secretary to the Commissioners,
Land Revenue & Settlement,
Madras.

Ref. C. *No* 5517/25, *dated* 18th Nov. *192*6.

Sir,

Enclosures

Spare Copies

Village Officers (Appeal) Reddi - Hospet Taluk Belagodahal village - Basavanagowd - second appeal.

The appeal petition with the connected records was submitted to the Board with my reference C.5517/25-A-1, dated 23-10-25. I request very early orders in the matter.

C 15 (a)-25

I.R.15-11-26.

for Collector.

On 18-11

C.F. 32.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

Revenue DEPARTMENT.



Received ..... *Registered* C 9768

From (Name) C. D'A. Crofton Esq., I. C. S.,

(Designation) Collector,

Post Box ....., (Station) Bellary.

Telegraphic Address .....

To The Secretary to the Commissioner,
Land Revenue & Settlement,
Madras.

Ref C. *No.* 5517/25, *dated* 16th December 1926.

Sir,

Enclosures .....

.....

.....

Spare Copies .....

* Village Officers- (Appeal) -Reddi-Hospet Taluk-Belgoduhal Village-Basavana Gowd's 2nd appeal to the Board.

Board's endorsement C. 6001 dated 8-9-25.

The appeal petition with the connected records was submitted to the Board with my Ref C. 5517/25 dated 28-10-1925. It is now more than a year since the records were submitted. The Board was reminded in the matter on the dates noted below:-

2nd February 1926.
10th May 1926
3rd June 1926
14th June 1926
24th July 1926
4th October26
18th Nov: 1926. — 9729-26

I beg to solicit the favour of early orders.

K.H. 17-12-26.

For Collector.

End 17/12.

K. F. XXII-A-19.—15,000—12-12-24.

Subject.—

FOR MEMBER

Village officers (Bellary) -
Hospet Tk - Belagodukal vg.
Headman - Basavannagowd
dismissal - second appeal -
orders passed.

READ AGAIN B.P No. _____ dated _____ 192

_____ 192

READ ALSO :—

Register No _____, From the P/C 7364.25 — 2, No. _____, dated _____ 192

" " _____, " " _____, " _____ 192

" " _____, " " _____, " _____ 192

RESOLUTION. ~~Roc~~ Misc 212 No. _____, dated 24—1— 1927

The appellant resubmitted ~~returned~~ the appeal petition to the Collector after rectifying the defect within a reasonable time. The Board considers ~~that~~ the appeal petition was incorrectly rejected by the Collector. The Com is directed to restore the appeal petition to file and pass orders on merits according to rules.

2. The appellant is referred to the Com for orders on his appeal petition

R Collector Bellary

To the Collr of Bellary with records appl his list returned

dsw 19/27

4.1.27

The appellant (paraiah) and the ~~Tahsildar~~ Tahsildar.

No.

CERTIFICATE.

C.F. 305 D—4,700—24-1-18.

I hereby certify that court-fee ~~stamp~~ / ~~stamps~~ of the value of Re 1—0—0 (One rupee only), affixed to ~~petition~~ / ~~document~~ in ~~petition~~ / ~~case~~ No. C 7364 of 1925 ~~is~~ / ~~are~~ in excess of the legal requirements and ~~has~~ / ~~have~~ been unnecessarily affixed and that Basavana Gowd of ——— hamlet, Belagodukal village, Hospet taluk, is therefore entitled to receive back the sum of Re 0—15—0 (fifteen annas only) within ~~thirty~~ / ninety days from the date of this certificate from the Sub Treasury at Hospet

Office Board of Revenue LR Sect Madras
Dated 1-27

Name ———
Designation Jnr Secretary

To

Basavana Gowd
Belagodukal vge
Hospet Taluk
Bellary Dt.

No.

ADVICE.

To

The Sub Treasury Officer,
Hospet.

A certificate has this day been issued to the following individual for the refund of the value of excess court-fee stamps affixed to his ~~petition~~ / ~~document~~ C 7364-25

Taluk	Hospet
Hamlet	—
Village	Belagodukal
Name of the party	Basavana Gowd
Date of presentation of petition or document	12-10-25
The value of excess court-fee stamps affixed	Re 1—0—0
Amount to be refunded	Re 0-15-0.
Remarks	

Office Board of Revenue LR Sect Madras
Dated 1-27

Name ———
Designation Jnr Secretary

Village officers-(Bellary)- Hospet Tq - Bel
Joothal vg - Headman - Basavana Gow
dismissal - Second appeal.

M2
S
AS

hlcr C7364-25

To

Asst Secy

1. Is hereditary acct governed by Act III of 95
2. Is admissible acct is in time.

The Coll rejected the appeal Submi
to him against R.D.O's order on the ground
that it was returned after
some delay after rectifying Stamp defe
The appellant returned the appeal to
Coll after rectifying the defect withi
60 days of the receipt of the petn by him (fr
27.2.25 to 5.3.25). The Coll has not
p9 of discussed the merits of the appeal.

For orders.

dwn
16/12/26

p6 of. The R.D.O. dismissed the
appellant. On appeal the Coll
p9 of. rejected it as time-barred. The
appellant has come up to the
Board.

2. The R.D.O's order was
p7 of (2) received by the appellant on 9.1

The appeal petition was received in the Coll's office on 8.2.25 ie within the one month's time allowed by the Law. The petition was returned to the party on 12.2.25 as it was not stamped. There was no direction that the petition should be returned within a specified time. The petition was returned duly stamped on 6.3.25. The original petition though unstamped was within time. B.S.O 154-21 ordinarily allows one month's time to rectify defects. In this case the petitioner has taken only 6 days to rectify the defect. It is not clear how the petition could be rejected as time-barred. The case may perhaps be remanded to the coll for enquiry and disposal.

3. This is however an old case of 1925.

The charge is framed and the appellant's explan are at pp 49-

p 1 of (Q)

Rcd by the party on 27.2.25. (Q)

(Q)

This may be pointed out to the Coll: 2.1.27. 2/1/27

5/1/27

and 5-7 of (TT). The Tahsildar's punishment roll and his report dt 30.11.24 at pp 13 & of (TT) are for perusal.

In the circumstances the R.D.O's order of dismissal does not seem to call for any interference.

For orders

SR 29.12.26.

31

To see. The petr's Coll: work has been very bad. He was fined Rs and also suspended twice for slack in Coll: work. He was again found slack and dismissed by the R.D.O. It does not appear that there are grounds to interfere with the latter's order. The appeal may be dismissed.

p 15 of (T)

I agree W 2/1/27.

1.1.27.

The appeal petition is incorrect & rejected by the Bd. I do not see how the Bd can endorse their decision by dismissing the appeal as the 1st appeal has not been considered at all

W 5/1/27.

Not only is the clerk's absolute disregard of the Coll's reminders (in this as in other cases) so culpable as to make me wonder if he had any ordinary sense of responsibility at all - let alone the standard expected in the Bd's office - but it is perfectly amazing that a Supt seeing these reminders never looked into the papers in person & it is also a matter for regret that the

happens if A.S. were not arrested. My rule is that reminders from Sort must be brought to me with the files at the earliest opportunity. I think A.S. might well make a similar rule regarding Collis reminders & petitioners which I do not see. In my case he must in future watch any tendencies which such reminders indicate & get on to the defaulters before the matter becomes a scandal as this has.

2/1/27

Are there many more of these cases?

M3?

S

AS.

Read at 9 am 3/1/27

M.S.

Draft Submitted

Mrs Ewing at A

About 300 old papers have been left by the late clerk. But of these, about 50 are still remaining to be disposed of.

~~This file was seen by Mr~~ 3

~~Before~~ Sorry has already complained the state of things as to note to Mr. This file must not go to Mr again.

With ref to B. above an office order is put up below ~~for~~